

A singular perturbation problem

A.G. Ramm

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, USA

ramm@math.ksu.edu

Abstract

Consider the equation $-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u_\varepsilon + q(x)u_\varepsilon = f(u_\varepsilon)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $|u(\infty)| < \infty$, $\varepsilon = \text{const} > 0$. Under what assumptions on $q(x)$ and $f(u)$ can one prove that the solution u_ε exists and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon = u(x)$, where $u(x)$ solves the limiting problem $q(x)u = f(u)$? These are the questions discussed in the paper.

1 Introduction

Let

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u_\varepsilon + q(x)u_\varepsilon = f(u_\varepsilon) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad |u_\varepsilon(\infty)| < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

$\varepsilon = \text{const} > 0$, f is a nonlinear smooth function, $q(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a real-valued function

$$a^2 \leq q(x), \quad a = \text{const} > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

We are interested in the following questions:

- 1) Under what assumptions does problem (1.1) have a solution?
- 2) When does u_ε converge to u as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$?

Here u is a solution to

$$q(x)u = f(u). \quad (1.3)$$

The following is an answer to the first question.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $q \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$, (1.2) holds, $f(0) \neq 0$, and a is sufficiently large (see (2.7) and (2.9) below). Then equation (1.1) has a solution $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$, $u_\varepsilon \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$.*

In Section 4 the potential q is allowed to grow at infinity.

An answer to the second question is:

Math subject classification: 35J60, 35B25

key words: nonlinear elliptic equations, singular perturbations

Theorem 1.2. *If $\frac{f(u)}{u}$ is a monotone, growing function, such that $\frac{f(u)}{u} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\min_{u \geq u_0} \frac{f(u)}{u} < a^2$, where $u_0 > 0$ is a fixed number, then there is a solution u_ε to (1.1) such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon(x) = u(x), \quad (1.4)$$

where $u(x)$ solves (1.3).

Singular perturbation problems have been discussed in the literature [1], [3], [4].

In Section 2 proofs are given.

In Section 3 an alternative approach is proposed.

In Section 4 an extension of the results to a larger class of potentials is given.

2 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The existence of a solution to (1.1) is proved by means of the contraction mapping principle.

Let g be the Green's function

$$(-\varepsilon^2 \Delta + a^2)g = \delta(x - y) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad g := g_a(x, y, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad g = \frac{e^{-\frac{a}{\varepsilon}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|\varepsilon^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $p := q - a^2 \geq 0$. Then (1.1) can be written as:

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g p u_\varepsilon dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g f(u_\varepsilon) dy := T(u_\varepsilon). \quad (2.2)$$

Let $X = C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the Banach space of continuous and globally bounded functions, $B_R := \{v : \|v\| \leq R\}$, and $\|v\| := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |v(x)|$.

We choose R such that

$$T(B_R) \subset B_R \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\|T(v) - T(w)\| \leq \gamma \|v - w\|, \quad v, w \in B_R, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1. \quad (2.4)$$

If (2.3) and (2.4) hold, then the contraction mapping principle yields a solution $u_\varepsilon \in B_R$ to (2.2), and, therefore, to problem (1.1).

The assumption $f(0) \neq 0$ guarantees that $u_\varepsilon \neq 0$.

Let us check (2.3). If $\|v\| \leq R$, then

$$\|T(v)\| \leq \|v\| \|p\| \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(x, y) dy \right\| + \frac{M(R)}{a^2} \leq \frac{\|p\| R + M(R)}{a^2} \leq R, \quad (2.5)$$

where $M(R) := \max_{|u| \leq R} |f(u)|$. Here we have used the following estimate:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(x, y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{a}{\varepsilon}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|\varepsilon^2} dy = \frac{1}{a^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

If $\|p\| < \infty$ and a is such that

$$\frac{\|p\|R + M(R)}{a^2} \leq R, \quad (2.7)$$

then (2.3) holds.

Let us check (2.4). Assume that $v, w \in B_R$, $v - w := z$. Then

$$\|T(v) - T(w)\| \leq \frac{\|p\|}{a^2} \|z\| + \frac{M_1(R)}{a^2} \|z\|, \quad (2.8)$$

where $M_1(R) = \max_{\substack{|u| \leq R \\ |w| \leq R \\ 0 \leq s \leq 1}} |f'(u + sw)| \leq \max_{|\xi| \leq 2R} |f'(\xi)|$. If

$$\frac{\|p\| + M_1(R)}{a^2} \leq \gamma < 1, \quad (2.9)$$

then (2.4) holds. By the contraction mapping principle, (2.7) and (2.9) imply the existence and uniqueness of the solution $u_\varepsilon(x)$ to (1.1) in B_R for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1.1 is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 one can choose R and γ independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us denote by T_ε the operator defined in (2.2). Then (see Remark 2.2) one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|T_\varepsilon(v) - T_0(v)\| = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$T_0(v) = \frac{-pv + f(v)}{a^2}. \quad (2.11)$$

It is known [2] and easy to prove (see Remark 2.3) that if (2.10) holds for every $v \in X$, and γ in (2.4) does not depend on ε , then (1.4) holds, where u solves the limiting equation (2.2):

$$u = T_0(u) = \frac{-pu + f(u)}{a^2}. \quad (2.12)$$

Equation (2.12) is equivalent to (1.3). Theorem 1.2 is proved. $\square$

Remark 2.1. Conditions of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are satisfied if, for example, $q(x) = a^2 + 1 + \sin(\omega x)$, where $\omega = \text{const} > 0$, $f(u) = (u + 1)^m$, $m > 1$, or $f(u) = e^u$.

Remark 2.2. Note that in the distribution sense

$$g_a(x, y, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \frac{1}{a^2} \delta(x - y), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Remark 2.3. Let $u = T_\varepsilon(u)$, $v = T_{\varepsilon_0}(v) := T_0(v)$, and $T_\varepsilon(w) \rightarrow T_0(w)$ for all $w \in X$, $\|T_\varepsilon(v) - T_\varepsilon(w)\| \leq \gamma \|v - w\|$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, γ does not depend on ε , $u_{n+1} = T_\varepsilon(u_n)$, $u_0 = v$. Then $u_1 = T_\varepsilon v$, and $\|u_n - v\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \|u_1 - v\|$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, one gets $\|u - v\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \|T_\varepsilon(v) - T_0(v)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon_0$.

3 A different approach

Let us outline a different approach to problem (1.1). Set $x = \xi + \varepsilon y$. Then

$$-\Delta_y w_\varepsilon + a^2 w_\varepsilon + p(\varepsilon y + \xi) w_\varepsilon = f(w_\varepsilon), \quad |w_\varepsilon(\infty)| < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$w_\varepsilon := u_\varepsilon(\varepsilon y + \xi)$, $p := q(\varepsilon y + \xi) - a^2 \geq 0$. Thus

$$w_\varepsilon = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(x, y) p(\varepsilon y + \xi) w_\varepsilon dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(x, y) f(w_\varepsilon) dy, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$(-\Delta + a^2)G = \delta(x - y) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad G = \frac{e^{-a|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}, \quad a > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

One has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(x, y) dy = \frac{1}{a^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Using an argument similar to the one in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, one concludes that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any sufficiently large a , problem (3.1) has a unique solution, which tends to a limit $w = w(y, \xi)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where w solves the problem

$$-\Delta_y w + q(\xi)w = f(w), \quad |w(\infty, \xi)| < \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

Problem (3.5) has an obvious solution $w = w(\xi)$, which is independent of y and solves the equation

$$q(\xi)w = f(w). \quad (3.6)$$

The solution to (3.5) is unique if a is sufficiently large. This is proved similarly to the proof of (2.9). Namely, let $b^2 := q(\xi)$. Note that $b \geq a$. If there are two solutions to (3.5), say w and v , and if $z := w - v$, then $\|z\| \leq b^{-2}M_1(R)\|z\| < \|z\|$, provided that $b^{-2}M_1(R) < 1$. Thus $z = 0$, and the uniqueness of the solution to (3.5) is proved.

Replacing ξ by x in (3.6), we obtain the solution found in Theorem 1.2.

4 Extension of the results to a larger class of potentials

Here a method for a study of problem (1.1) for a larger class of potentials $q(x)$ is given. We assume that $q(x) \geq a^2$ and can grow to infinity as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Note that in Sections 1 and 2 the potential was assumed to be a bounded function. Let g_ε be the Green's function

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta g_\varepsilon + q(x)g_\varepsilon = \delta(x - y) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad |g_\varepsilon(\infty, y)| < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

As in Section 2, problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$u_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\varepsilon f(u_\varepsilon(y)) dy, \quad (4.2)$$

and this equation has a unique solution in B_R if a^2 is sufficiently large. The proof, similar to the one given in Section 2, requires the estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\varepsilon(x, y) dy \leq \frac{1}{a^2}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let us prove the above inequality. Let G_j be the Green's function satisfying equation (4.1) with $q = q_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Estimate (4.3) follows from the inequality

$$G_1 \leq G_2 \quad \text{if } q_1 \geq q_2. \quad (4.4)$$

This inequality can be derived from the maximum principle.

If $q_2 = a^2$, then $G_2 = \frac{e^{-\frac{a}{\varepsilon}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|\varepsilon^2}$, and the inequality $g_\varepsilon(x, y) \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{a}{\varepsilon}|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|\varepsilon^2}$ implies (4.3).

We prove below the following relation:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_\varepsilon(x, y) h(y) dy = \frac{h(x)}{q(x)} \quad \forall h \in \mathring{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathring{C}^\infty$ is the set of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ functions vanishing at infinity together with their derivatives. This formula is an analog to (2.13).

To prove (4.5), multiply (4.1) by $h(y)$, integrate over $\mathbb{R}^3$ with respect to y , then integrate the first term by parts, and then let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The result is (4.5).

Thus, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 remain valid for $q(x) \geq a^2$, $a > 0$ sufficiently large, $\frac{f(u)}{u}$ monotonically growing to infinity, and the solution $u(x)$ to the limiting equation (1.3) is the limit of the solution to (4.2) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

References

- [1] Berger, M., Nonlinearity and functional analysis, Acad. Press, New York, 1977.
- [2] Kantorovich, L., Akilov, G., Functional analysis, Pergamon Press, New York, 1982.
- [3] Lomov, S., Introduction into the theory of singular perturbations, AMS, Providence RI, 1992.
- [4] Vishik, M., Lusternik, L., Regular degeneration and boundary layer for linear differential equations with small parameter, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 12, N5 (1957), 3-122.